



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,474	09/26/2003	Kook-yeol Yoo	Q77738	7408
23373	7590	12/06/2007	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			CZEKAJ, DAVID J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			12/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/670,474	YOO, KOOK-YEOL
	Examiner Dave Czekaj	Art Unit 2621

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 September 2007.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 27-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 27-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection(s) of the claim(s) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made as set forth below.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification on page 24, radio medium is considered to be an unstatutory term and should be removed from the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 27-36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims do not meet the 35 U.S.C. 101 requirements (the claims have improper language regarding the computer readable medium). Please see the USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" in the Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005. Note Annex IV Computer-Related Nonstatutory Subject Matter. The Annex states that "computer-readable medium encoded with (stored thereon, embedded with or embodying) a computer program", should be recited in the claim in order to be considered statutory. Linking words such as including,

comprising, listing and having, are not acceptable as a substitute term for "encoded with". The examiner suggests changing "storing a program" to "storing a computer program" to overcome the rejection. Furthermore the computer readable medium is a carrier wave/radio medium as seen in the specification on page 24 which is considered to be nonstatutory. Therefore, the carrier wave should be removed from the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 27, 28, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoo (US 10/670,474) in view of Hibi et al. (6275532), (hereinafter referred to as "Hibi").

Regarding claim 27, Yoo discloses "performing an affine motion estimation to obtain affine motion parameters" (Yoo: page 2, lines 8-11 of specification) and "converting the affine motion parameters to a predetermined number of translational motion vectors" (Yoo: page 2, line 19 – page 3, line 13 of specification). However, Yoo fails to disclose coding the difference as claimed. Hibi teaches that it is impractical to handle video information because the video generally contains a very large amount of information (Hibi: column 1, lines 20-25). To help alleviate this problem, Hibi discloses "coding the difference between

the motion vectors of a current block and the motion vectors of a previous block" (Hibi: column 22, lines 40-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the apparatus disclosed by Yoo and add the coding taught by Hibi in order to help reduce the amount of data a system needs to process.

Regarding claim 28, note the examiners rejection for claim 27.

Regarding claim 30, note the examiners rejection for claim 27, and in addition, the decoder of the combination of Yoo and Hibi will perform the complimentary operations of the corresponding encoder.

2. Claims 29 and 31-36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoo (US 10/670,474) in view of Hibi et al. (6275532), (hereinafter referred to as "Hibi") in further view of Bober (6944227), (hereinafter referred to as "Bober").

Regarding claim 29, note the examiners rejection for claim 28, and in addition, claim 29 differs from claim 28 in that claim 29 further requires quantizing the vectors to fixed point numbers. Bober teaches that it is well known to quantize motion vectors to either full or sub-pel accuracy and differentially encode the result (Bober: column 1, lines 20-24). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the quantization taught by Bober in order to send large amounts of data over limited bandwidth networks.

Regarding claim 31, Hibi discloses "the affine parameters are used to determine a motion of each pixel in a block, wherein the motion varies for each

pixel based on values of the parameters and a location of the pixel in the image"

(Hibi: column 19, line 55-column 20, line 20; column 22, lines 21-37; column 32, lines 37-59).

Regarding claim 32, Hibi discloses "each of the motion vectors specifies a motion of each block, wherein the number of vectors is equal to a number of blocks in the image" (Hibi: column 20, lines 8-20, wherein since the interpolation is done pixel by pixel, the number of motion vectors will be equal to the number of blocks).

Regarding claims 33-36, note the examiners rejection for claims 31-32.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Czekaj whose telephone number is (571) 272-7327. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DJC

Mehrdad Dastouri
MEHRDAD DASTOURI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TC 2600